UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MINNESOTA

0:10-cv-01029 JRT-JJK

MEEKS

CITY OF SAINT PAUL, INC. et al



70, Report and recomandation

This matter is to be before The united States Judge on Plaintiff's Pro Se Application.

1. Plaintiff is indigent.

2. Plaintiff istsinger mother raising a syear old.

3. Plantiff is Disabled

Conclution A. Plaintiff can not continue claim with out I.F.P. Objection

1. Plaintiff Signed a lease with a landlord.

2. The landlord violated every terms of the lease,

3. I tried to Stop Him

Conclution

A. The landlord retaliated Against me through the court. Objection

1. The laws didn't help me

2. The land lord lied in court

3. I gave the landlord the information. The landlord lied In Court.

A. The landlord retaliated against me through the court Objection

1. The laws didn't help me.

2. The courts didn't help me.

3. The owner didn't help me.

A. I helpe my self but Im disabled Objection

- · Im not so disabled, That a landlord can do what ever he wants to me.
- -. The laws are suppose to help me

Conclusion

A. Plaintiff can not continue a claim against acrimnal WITH OUT I.F.P.

Complaint *1

- ! I know the acts that I Did.
 - las I went to court
 - 18) I established a rentescrow
 - (C) I pettitioned the owner of the building for emergency relief from the landlord. land lord on

Conclusion

- 1. The owner omitted the legal solution, The Harmond lied in Court. The landlord and the owner are the Same person, Complaint #2
- 1. The omissions of the owner are gross misdemeanors and Misdemeanors and Perjury.
- 2. The acts of the landlord are gross middemeanors, misdemeanors and parsery.
- 3. The act and omission of the land lord and owner are contractual Obliquetions in Obligations defined in leaser ordinance, statutes in united state code

as Act and omissions in support of racketeer. Conclussion

- 1. The court didn't help me, it help create the record.
- 2. The landlord didn't help me, He help create the Criminal record.
- 3. The owner didn't helpme, he help create the racketeer,
- 4. Imasking the court to make a determination based on the record. The owner is a rabbeteer,

× Cungelnucks
Angel Meeks

1) COURT CASE DOCUMENTS:

- (a) LEASE VIOLATIONIS: 62 hg CV 09-3030:
- (b) ORDINIACE VIOLATIONS GZ hg CU 09-3670:
- (c) STATUTE VIOLATIONS 62 hg CV 09 3833:
- 2) CRITICAL ISSUES:
 - (a) Rights I FP.
 - (b) Rights PLAINITIFF.
 - (e) Rights DEFENDANIT.
- 3) EXPLANATORY ISSUES!
 - (a) HOW DID WE GET TO FEDERAL COURT!
 - (b) HOW DID WE GET DEFENDANTS NAMED?
- (c) HOW DO WE DETERMINE DAMACES?
- 4) EXPLANED TO THE COURT!
 - (a) ITEM BY ITEM (1)
 - (b) RULE BY RULE (2)
 - (C) LAN BY LAW MAY ONLY BE EXPLAINED

 "I S CRIMINIAL." (1) + (2) = "IS"

Other Orders/Judgments

0:10-cv-01029-JRT-JJK Meeks v. City of Saint Paul, Inc. et al CV, PRO-SE

Objection

U.S. District Court

District of Minnesota

Notice of Electronic Filing

The following transaction was entered on 4/5/2010 at 3:21 PM CDT and filed on 4/5/2010

Case Name:

Meeks v. City of Saint Paul, Inc. et al

Case Number:

0:10-ev-01029-JRT-JJK

Filer:

Document Number: 4

Docket Text:

REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION: that: 1. Plaintiff's application to proceed in forma pauperis, (Docket No. [2]), be DENIED; and 2. This action be summarily DISMISSED pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(B)(ii). Objections to R&R due by 4/20/2010 Signed by Magistrate Judge Jeffrey J. Keyes on 04/05/2010. (MMP)

0:10-cv-01029-JRT-JJK Notice has been electronically mailed to:

0:10-cy-01029-JRT-JJK Notice has been delivered by other means to:

Angel Meeks
15 West Kellogg Boulevard
Ramsey County District Court
Rm 170 Housing Division
St. Paul. MN 55102-1618

The following document(s) are associated with this transaction:

Document description: Main Document

Original filename:n/a

Electronic document Stamp:

[STAMP dcecfStamp_ID=1051215216 [Date=4/5/2010] [FileNumber=2728293-0] [1caa226611bd9696ad69da8253869488a8ac72586f8c4888d02a3e5d10880d48168c f1267aeedfad32c781c90499d9f582611518df49c8b47dd4fa584495118f]]